The Office Action mailed August 18, 2005 has been reviewed and carefully considered.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 15-17, 19-20, 30-32 and 34-35 have been amended and claims 3, 18, 33 have

been canceled. Claims 1-2, 4-17, 19-32 and 34-35 are pending. Reconsideration of the claims in

view of the remarks provided herein below and withdrawal of the present rejections are

respectfully requested.

In paragraph 1 on page 2 of the Office Action, claims 3, 15, 18, 30 and 33-35 were

objected to because of informalities.

Applicants respectfully traverse the objection, but in the interest of expediting

prosecution have either canceled the claims or amended their dependency to overcome the

objection.

In paragraph 3 on page 2 of the Office Action, claims 1, 16 and 31 were rejected under

§ 102(e) as being anticipated by Gill ('751). Claims 2-15, 17-33 and 32-25 were objected to as

as being objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection, but in the interest of expediting prosecution

have amended claims to more particularly distinguish the invention over the cited reference.

The '751 patent discloses a hard bias layer along side the entire length of the sensor

stack.

In contrast, the independent claims of the present application recite a bias layer that is

adjactent only the top self-pinned layer. An additional hard bias layer is provided in dependent

11

Application No.: 10/811,525

HSJ920030222US1/(HITG.068-0562) Date of Response: December 8, 2006

Reply to Office Action Dated August 18, 2006

claims 2, 17 and 32. The additional hard bias layer is separated from the biasing layer by a metal

oxide layer and does not extend to the top of the top self-spinned layer.

Accordingly, the '751 patent fails to disclose, teach or suggest a biasing layer disposed

adjacent only the top self-pinned layer in a passive region for pinning the top self-pinned layer.

On the basis of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the

claims are in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of this

application and its allowance are requested.

If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning this

communication, please contact Attorney for Applicant, David W. Lynch, at 423-757-0264.

Respectfully submitted,

Chambliss, Bahner and Stophel 1000 Tallan Building Two Union Square

Chattanooga, TN 37402 423-757-0264 By:

Name: David W. Lynch

Reg. No.: 36,204